

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,691	08/05/2003	Kiyoji Minegishi	59558.00018	7601
32294	7590 01/30/2006	EXAMINER		
	NDERS & DEMPSE	BRYANT, DAVID P		
•	14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			PAPER NUMBER
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			3700	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/633,691	MINEGISHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David P. Bryant	3726		

	David P. Bryant	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	·		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	PTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Americanent	1 10L-02+ <i>j</i> .
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:	,	Savet 240	
	•	David P. Bryant	,

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3726

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that JP '544 fails to explicitly teach inserting the rollers 2 into the retainer 3 from the inside thereof (Figures 1A and 1B). Applicant further argues that the rollers are likely inserted from the outside of the retainer, and states that this is the case in the depiction of the prior art in Figure 7 of JP '544. However, based on the drawings in Figures 1A and 1B, in combination with the disclosure at paragraphs [0013] and [0014], of JP '544, the examiner maintains that the rollers are inserted into the retainer from the inside. See the FINAL rejection mailed 9/14/05, which outlines the reasons in support of this contention. The examiner has requested a complete English language translation of JP '544 to confirm this interpretation, and will furnish a copy thereof to applicant upon completion.